

NATIONAL HISTORICAL PUBLICATIONS AND RECORDS COMMISSION State

Historical Records Advisory Board Members Evaluation

Numerical Rating:

Although the Commission finds most useful the narrative comments of board members and the written summary prepared by the Coordinator, it would be helpful if you would numerically rate this proposal in the following areas using the following scale:

Excellent (5), Above Average (4), Average (3), Below Average (2), Poor (1)

Importance/Priority of the Proposal

Technical Merit of the Proposal

The applicant's need for grant support for this proposal

The soundness of the plan of work

The relationship with the priorities of the board

The soundness of the budget

The importance of the records to be dealt with in terms of their research value

The qualifications of the personnel to carry out this project

Check the category, if any, which most applies: The project is important () as a model, () to provide leverage to the applicant in seeking additional resources, or () because of the report or other publication to be produced

The appropriateness of the proposed policies, procedures, and techniques

The level of institutional support for the project

_____ **Importance/Priority Rating**

_____ **Technical Rating**

_____ **Overall Rating** (Technically flawed proposals should not receive a high overall rating)